PAPER ABSTRACTS

Thurs., 10/13/22 AM22: Hip & Femur I, PAPER #73

## **Revisiting the Fixation of Valgus-Impacted Femoral Neck Fractures**

Noelle Lily Van Rysselberghe, MD; Harsh Wadhwa, BS; Seth Stravers Tigchelaar, PhD; Sara K. Shin, MD; Rosser William McCallie, MD; Evan M. Miller, MD; Ameen Barghi, MD; Richard Sulter Moore BS; Andrea I. Hankins, MS; Robert Paul Dunbar, MD; Michael Freeman Githens, MD; Sean E. Nork, MD; Conor P. Kleweno, MD; Michael Bellino, MD; Christopher Parks, MD; Jonah Hebert-Davies, MD; Eben A. Carroll, MD; Christopher Glenn Finkemeier, MD; Julius A. Bishop, MD; Michael J. Gardner, MD Stanford University, Stanford, California, UNITED STATES

**Purpose:** Our objective was to report clinical outcomes following internal fixation of valgusimpacted femoral neck fractures using a new fixed-angle sliding device.

**Methods:** This was a multicenter retrospective review of patients with valgus-impacted femoral neck fractures treated using the Synthes Femoral Neck System (FNS) with minimum 3-month follow-up. Primary outcome was reoperation rate. Secondary outcomes included fixation failure, infection, nonunion, and mortality rates at 3 and 6 months.

**Results:** 114 patients met inclusion criteria, with an average age of 72.1 years (Interquartile range [IQR] 68-83). Fracture classifications included 114 valgus-impacted fractures with  $<20^{\circ}$  of posterior tilt ("stable"), and 7 valgus-impacted fractures with  $>20^{\circ}$  of posterior tilt ("posterolateral"). All posterolateral fractures underwent a reduction maneuver. Overall reoperation rate was 7.9%, with no patients in the posterolateral group requiring reoperation. Reasons for reoperation included 5 conversion arthroplasties for osteonecrosis (4.7%), 2 nonunions (1.8%), 1 peri-implant fracture (0.9%), and 1 hardware removal (0.9%). There were no cases of acute fixation failure. Mortality rate was 0.9% at 3 months and 2.2% at 6 months.

**Conclusion:** In this multi-institutional case series, surgical fixation with a new fixed-angle sliding device was associated with low rates of fixation failure and nonunion in stable and posterolateral femoral neck fractures. This suggests that this implant is at least comparable to other devices in the literature for the valgus-impacted injury pattern. This study also found a 0% reoperation rate in the pseudo-stable, posterolateral subgroup, all of whom underwent some kind of reduction prior to fixation. Future studies are warranted to determine if this could be viable approach for this specific fracture pattern, sparing patients the need for an index arthroplasty procedure.

	Stable	Posterolateral		
	n = 107	n = 7	n = 114	Р
Reoperations				
Any	9 (8.4%)	O (0%)	9 (7.9%)	>0.99
Before 3 mo	1 (0.9%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.9%)	>0.99
-Infection	1 (0.9%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.9%)	
After 3 mo	8 (7.6%)	0 (0%)	8 (7.0%)	>0.9
-Arthroplasty (AVN)	5 (4.7%)	0 (0%)	5 (4.4%)	
-Arthroplasty	2 (1.9%)	0 (0%)	2 (1.8%)	
(nonunion)				
-Hardware Removal	1 (0.9%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.9%)	
-Peri-implant Fracture	1 (0.9%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.9%)	
Complications				
Fixation Failure	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	>0.9
Nonunion	2 (1.9%)	0 (0%)	2 (1.8%)	>0.9
AVN	8 (7.5%)	0 (0%)	8 (7.0%)	>0.9
Infection	1 (0.9%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.9%)	>0.99
Superficial	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	O (0%)	>0.99
Deep	1 (0.9%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.9%)	>0.9
Mortality w/in 3 mo	1 (0.9%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.9%)	>0.9
Mortality between 3 and 6 mo (6 mo mortality rate)	0 (1.0%*)	1 (17%*)	1 (2.2%*)	0.06
Demographics:				
Age (years)	72.9 (IQR 69-83)	60.7 (IQR 32-80)	72.1 (68-83)	0.13
Male	30 (28%)	3 (43%)	33 (29%)	0.41
вмі	24.6 (IQR 20.9- 26.5)	26.2 (IQR 20.5- 25.2)	24.6 (IQR 20.9- 26.4)	0.51
Diabetes	19 (18%)	1 (14%)	20 (18%)	>0.9
Hypothyroidism	24 (22%)	1 (14%)	25 (22%)	>0.9
Smoking (active)	19 (18%)	2 (29%)	21 (18%)	0.61
Preop Independent WB	65 (61%)	4 (57%)	69 (61%)	0.59
Follow-Up (weeks)	51.3 (IQR 20-73)	34.6 (IQR 12-56)	50.0 (IQR 20-69)	0.14
Reduction				0.00
Direct	4 (3.7%)	2 (29%)	6 (5%)	
Closed	55 (51%)	5 (71%)	60 (53%)	
No reduction	48 (45%)	0 (0%)	48 (42%)	
Plate Length				0.07
1 hole	101 (94%)	5 (71%)	106 (93%)	
	6 (5.6%)	2 (29%)	8 (7%)	

The FDA has stated that it is the responsibility of the physician to determine the FDA clearance status of each drug or medical device they wish to use in clinical practice.