Proximal Tibia Fracture Dislocations: Management and Outcomes of an Underrecognized Injury

Lukas Keil, MD; Brian Mullis, MD; Paul Tornetta III, MD; Maxwell C. Alley, MD; Nathan P. Olszewski, MD; Jonathan Wheeler, BA; Ericka Von Kaeppler, BS; Saam Morshed, MD; Robert Matar, MD; Michael T. Archdeacon, MD; Tyler Wilson Smith, MD; Anna Noel Miller, MD; Daniel Scott Horwitz, MD; Mirza Shahid Baig, MD; Zachary Telgheder, MD; Emil Azer, MD; Givenchy W. Manzano, MD; Heather A. Vallier, MD; Scott Alexander Barnett, MD; Peter C. Krause, MD; Troy Bornes, MD; William M. Ricci, MD; Patrick John Dunne, BS; Seth R. Yarboro, MD; Alexander John Ment, BA; Andrew J. Marcantonio, DO; Rashed S. Alqudhaya, MD; Ross K. Leighton, MD; Robert F. Ostrum, MD Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, United States

Purpose: Proximal tibia fracture dislocations (PTFDs) are a subset of plateau fractures, with little in the literature since description by Hohl (1967) and classification by Moore (1981). We sought to evaluate reliability in diagnosis of fracture-dislocations by traumatologists and to compare their outcomes with bicondylar tibial plateau fractures (BTPFs).

Methods: This was a retrospective cohort study at 14 Level I trauma centers throughout North America. In all, 4771 proximal tibia fractures were reviewed by sites and 278 possible PTFDs were identified using the Moore classification. These were reviewed by an adjudication board of 3 traumatologists to obtain consensus. Outcomes included interrater reliability of PTFD diagnosis, wound complications, malunion, range of motion (ROM), and knee pain limiting function. These were compared to BTPF data from a previous study.

Results: Of 278 submitted cases, 187 were deemed PTFDs, representing 4% of all proximal tibia fractures reviewed and 67% of those submitted. Interrater agreement by the adjudication board was good (83%). 61 PTFDs (33%) were unicondylar. 11 (6%) had ligamentous repair and 72 (39%) had meniscal repair. Two required vascular repair. Infection was more common among PTFDs than BTPFs (14% vs 9%, P = 0.038). Malunion occurred in 25% of PTFDs. ROM was worse among PTFDs, although likely not clinically significant. Knee pain limited function at final follow-up in 24% of both cohorts.

Conclusion: PTFDs represent 4% of proximal tibia fractures. They are often unicondylar and may go unrecognized. Malunion is common, and PTFD outcomes may be worse than bicondylar fractures.

	PTFD (n=187*)	Bicondylar Plateau Fracture (n=1297*)	p-value
Age at injury in years, mean (SD)	48 (16)	50 (14)	0.056
Sex, n (%) Female	82 (44)	541 (46)	0.186
Male	105 (56)	647 (54)	
Body mass index, mean (SD)	29 (7)	30 (7)	0.914
Injury Severity Score, mean (SD)	10.3 (6.8)	10.5 (7.0)	0.508
Mechanism of injury, n (%) Fall from height Fall from standing MVC MCC Pedestrian vs auto	73 (39) 12 (6) 31 (17) 29 (16) 10 (5)	418 (32) 205 (16) 230 (18) 255 (20) 94 (7)	<0.00
Other	32 (17)	80 (6)	
Laterality, n (%) Left Right	98 (52) 89 (48)	662 (51) 634 (49)	0.115
Open fractures, n (%)	7 (4)	123 (9)	0.009
Compartment syndrome, n (%)	18 (10)	166 (13)	0.255
Ipsilateral bony injury, n (%)	24 (13)	245 (19)	0.042
Temporary ex-fix used, n (%)	149 (80)	813 (63)	<0.00
Plating, n (%) Medial only Lateral only Dual plating	57 (31) 35 (19) 89 (49)	129 (10) 457 (37) 648 (53)	<0.00
Wound dehiscence, n (%)	17 (9)	72 (6)	0.070
Wound infection, n (%)	26 (14)	118 (9)	0.038
Time to radiographic union in months, mean (SD)	6 (7)	6 (8)	0.671
Malunion, n (%) Articular Metaphyseal	27 (14) 3 (2)	92 (7) 34 (3)	0.001 0.404
Range of motion at last follow-up, mean (SD) Extension Flexion	3 (5) 112 (19)	2 (4) 116 (39)	<0.00 0.017
Time to return to work in months, mean (SD)	7 (7)	9 (14)	0.195
Knee limiting function at last follow-up, n (%)	39 (24)	277 (24)	0.851

†Chi-squared test for categorical variables, Wilcoxon rank sum (Mann-Whitney U) test for continuous variables Table 1. Comparison of proximal tibia fracture dislocations (PTFDs) with bicondylar tibial plateau fractures

See the meeting app for complete listing of authors' disclosure information. Schedule and presenters subject to change.