Comparing Single-Column versus Dual-Column Fixation in the Surgical Management of Extra-Articular Distal Humerus Fractures: A Retrospective Comparative Review of Surgical Technique and Literature

Brian Ford; Charles Conway, BS; Marine Coste, BA; Cameron Reza Moattari, BS; Neil Vijay Shah, MD, MS; Sarah G. Stroud, BA; Lee Bloom, MD; Jared M. Newman, MD; Bassel Diebo, MD; **Emmanuel Illical, FRCSC, MD** SUNY Downstate Medical Center, Brooklyn, NY, United States

Purpose: Traditionally, surgical management of extra-articular distal humerus fractures (EADHFs) with dual- column, dual-plate fixation has been advocated. The use of a single-column construct has since been reported in studies with excellent results. The purpose of this study is compare single-column fixation with a lateral paratricipital (LPT) approach for comminuted EAHDFs to other approach and fixation strategies undertaken at our institution over a 7-yearperiod. We hypothesized that there would be decreased iatrogenic nerve injury with single-column fixation.

Methods: All surgically managed EADHFs (AO/OTA 12 and 13-A2/A3) performed with a posterior approach between 2010 and 2018 at a single institution were identified. Group A was a retrospectively analyzed multisurgeon cohort of 37 patients from 2010-2018 employing various posterior approaches and both single and dual column and/or plate fixation. Group B was a prospectively collected single-surgeon cohort of 34 patients from 2015-2018 using only the LPT approach with lateral intermuscular septum release and single-column fixation. Group B single-column, dual-plate fixation was only employed if the medial column of the humerus could not be anatomically reduced.

Results: In Group B, 14 patients were treated with single-column, single-plate constructs versus 6 with single- column, dual-plate constructs. In Group A, 9 were treated with single-column, single-plate constructs versus 18 fixated with dual-column, dual-plate constructs. Patients in group B had a lower rate of postoperative ulnar, radial, and total nerve palsy (0/20, 0% for ulnar, radial, and total) than patients in group A (ulnar: 6/26, 23.1%, P = 0.033; radial: 4/23, 17.4%, P = 0.070; total: 8/23, 34.8%, P = 0.007). No patients in Group A/B had plate failures or nonunions.

Conclusion: With optimized surgical protocol and meticulous execution, EADHFs can be treated with single- column fixation via a lateral paratricipital approach. Single-column, dual-plate fixation can be employed for comminuted EADHFs that have traditionally been treated using dual-column, dual-plate fixation with no radiographic complications and fewer iatrogenic nerve complications. This is a promising technique that warrants further studies to determine its efficacy compared to more traditional surgical techniques.

The FDA has stated that it is the responsibility of the physician to determine the FDA clearance status of each drug or medical device he or she wishes to use in clinical practice.