

Stem Cell Populations for Fracture Healing: Which Ones are Most Useful?

Stem Cell Symposium, Orthopaedic Trauma Association Basic Science Focus Forum 2013

STEM CELL POPULATIONS IN FRACTURE REPAIR

- Mesenchymal Stem Cells (MSCs)
- Endothelial Progenitor Cells (EPCs)
- Muscle Derived Stem Cells
- Adipose-Derived Stem Cells
- Perivascular Stem Cells

MESENCHYMAL STEM CELLS (MSCs)

- Traditional stem cell population used in fracture repair
- Due to their known ability to differentiate into cartilage and bone *in vitro*
- Universal Definition (International Society for Cellular Therapy)
 - Plastic Adherent
 - Express CD105, CD73, CD90
 - Lack expression CD45, CD34, CD14, CD11b, CD79a, CD19, HLA-DR
 - Differentiate into osteoblasts, adipocytes, chondroblasts
- Multiple preclinical investigations have demonstrated the ability of ex vivo cultured MSCs to accelerate fracture healing¹, and heal bone defects when combined with osteoconductive scaffolds² or osteoinductive proteins³
- Some authors have reported ineffectiveness when using MSCs to heal bone defects in preclinical models⁴
- There have been several case series reported in the literature of MSC therapy in humans but no comparative studies with AICBG^{5,6}

ENDOTHELIAL PROGENITOR CELLS (EPCs)

- Precursor cells which reside in the bone marrow and peripheral blood
- Form vascular networks in vitro
- Mobilize and home to areas of tissue ischemia
- Contribute to post natal neovascularization
- Highly effective angiogenesis in animal models of MI, limb ischemia, and stroke
- Accepted Markers:
 - CD133, CD34, VEGFR-2
 - Uptake of AcLDL and Leptin
 - Tube formation on Matrigel
- Multiple authors have demonstrated effectiveness with EPC therapy for healing bone defects in preclinical models⁷⁻⁹

Stem Cell Populations for Fracture Healing: Which Ones are Most Useful?

Stem Cell Symposium, Orthopaedic Trauma Association Basic Science Focus Forum 2013

EPCs VERSUS MSCS

- Recent preclinical investigation has demonstrated increased effectiveness of EPC therapy over MSC therapy in a bone defect model¹⁰
- Other authors have reported synergistic effects on angiogenesis and osteogenesis when EPCs and MSCs are combined in preclinical models¹¹
- Recent basic science investigation has demonstrated that both AICBG and RIA contain viable populations of MSCs and EPCs¹²

CONCLUSIONS

- Both MSCs and EPCs have demonstrated effectiveness in preclinical models of fracture healing
- There is emerging evidence to suggest that EPCs may be superior to MSCs, due to their combined effects on osteogenesis and angiogenesis
- The best strategy may be combined administration of both cell populations

REFERENCES

1. Granero-Molto F, Weis JA, Miga MI, et al. Regenerative effects of transplanted mesenchymal stem cells in fracture healing. *Stem cells*. Aug 2009;27(8):1887-1898.
2. Arinzeh TL, Peter SJ, Archambault MP, et al. Allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells regenerate bone in a critical-sized canine segmental defect. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Oct 2003;85-A(10):1927-1935.
3. Burastero G, Scarfi S, Ferraris C, et al. The association of human mesenchymal stem cells with BMP-7 improves bone regeneration of critical-size segmental bone defects in athymic rats. *Bone*. Jul 2010;47(1):117-126.
4. Cuomo AV, Virk M, Petrigliano F, Morgan EF, Lieberman JR. Mesenchymal stem cell concentration and bone repair: potential pitfalls from bench to bedside. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. May 2009;91(5):1073-1083.
5. Giannotti S, Trombi L, Bottai V, et al. Use of Autologous Human mesenchymal Stromal Cell/Fibrin Clot Constructs in Upper Limb Non-Unions: Long-Term Assessment. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(8):e73893.
6. Marcacci M, Kon E, Moukhachev V, et al. Stem cells associated with macroporous bioceramics for long bone repair: 6- to 7-year outcome of a pilot clinical study. *Tissue engineering*. May 2007;13(5):947-955.
7. Mifune Y, Matsumoto T, Kawamoto A, et al. Local delivery of granulocyte colony stimulating factor-mobilized CD34-positive progenitor cells using bioscaffold for modality of unhealing bone fracture. *Stem cells*. Jun 2008;26(6):1395-1405.
8. Rozen N, Bick T, Bajayo A, et al. Transplanted blood-derived endothelial progenitor cells (EPC) enhance bridging of sheep tibia critical size defects. *Bone*. Nov 2009;45(5):918-924.
9. Li R, Atesok K, Nauth A, et al. Endothelial progenitor cells for fracture healing: a microcomputed tomography and biomechanical analysis. *J Orthop Trauma*. Aug 2011;25(8):467-471.
10. Nauth A, Li R, Schemitsch EH. Endothelial progenitor cells for fracture healing and angiogenesis: A comparison with Mesenchymal Stem Cells. Annual Meeting of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons; 2010; Las Vegas, NV.
11. Seebach C, Henrich D, Kahling C, et al. Endothelial progenitor cells and mesenchymal stem cells seeded onto beta-TCP granules enhance early vascularization and bone healing in a critical-sized bone defect in rats. *Tissue Eng Part A*. Jun 2010;16(6):1961-1970.
12. Henrich D, Seebach C, Sterlepper E, Tauchmann C, Marzi I, Frank J. RIA reamings and hip aspirate: a comparative evaluation of osteoprogenitor and endothelial progenitor cells. *Injury*. Nov 2010;41 Suppl 2:S62-68.