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Antegrade – pro

1. Allows for reconstruction option if needed.
2. Better fixation of proximal fractures
3. Easier maintenance of length and rotation, esp if skeletal traction is used

Antegrade – con

1. Potentially disastrous in neck shaft fracture
2. Troch entry creates greater hoop stress and risk of proximal blowout
3. Poorer control of distal third fractures
4. Hip pain/abductor pain

Retrograde – pro

1. Ideal for obese patient
2. Ideal for floating knee or associated patella fracture
3. Better stability/fixation of distal fractures, esp with modern implants

Retrograde – con

1. Articular damage – technique dependent
2. Reamings in the knee – open fx an issue??
3. Knee pain – real??
4. More difficult to maintain length and rotation in comminuted cases – need more help

TECHNIQUE IS IMPORTANT IN BOTH OPTIONS
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